

EFI 93-20
hep-th/9304139
April 1993

Supersymmetric quantum mechanics and the Korteweg-de Vries hierarchy

Aaron K. Grant and Jonathan L. Rosner
Enrico Fermi Institute and Department of Physics
University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637

April 1993

Abstract

The connection between supersymmetric quantum mechanics and the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation is discussed, with particular emphasis on the KdV conservation laws. It is shown that supersymmetric quantum mechanics aids in the derivation of the conservation laws, and gives some insight into the Miura transformation that converts the KdV equation into the modified KdV equation. The construction of the τ -function by means of supersymmetric quantum mechanics is discussed.

I INTRODUCTION

It is well known that both the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation [1] and supersymmetric quantum mechanics [2] have intimate connections to the inverse scattering problem [3-6]. In Ref. [4] it was shown that supersymmetric quantum mechanics can be used to construct reflectionless one-dimensional potentials with arbitrarily prescribed bound state energies. On the other hand, one can solve the KdV equation by means of the inverse scattering transform [1], wherein one associates a solution of KdV with a Schrödinger potential. Further links between supersymmetric quantum mechanics and the KdV equation have been found in connection with Bäcklund transformations [4], which can be used either to add a soliton to a solution of KdV, or to add a bound state to a one-dimensional potential. It has been shown that supersymmetric quantum mechanics allows one to obtain an expression for the τ -function that comes up in solving the KdV equation [5], and to obtain one- and two-soliton solutions for an infinite family of equations related to KdV [6]. In addition, it has been noted [7] that the change of variable connecting the modified KdV equation with the usual KdV equation comes up quite naturally in factorizing the Schrödinger equation.

We have two parallel ways of adding a soliton to an already existing n -soliton solution. (1) We can perform a transformation within supersymmetric quantum mechanics [3-6]. (2) We can construct a τ -function for the n -soliton solution which, when acted upon by a suitable vertex operator, yields a τ -function for the $n + 1$ -soliton solution [8]. Our purpose in the present paper is to explore the relation between these two methods.

In Sec. II we review the construction of one-dimensional potentials using supersymmetric quantum mechanics. In Sec. III we derive conservation laws for deformations of a potential that leave the bound state energies unchanged, while Sec. IV introduces a hierarchy of equations of the KdV type as a means of generating such deformations. In Sec. V, we introduce the τ -function and the vertex operator, and present a method for constructing the n -soliton τ -function using supersymmetric quantum mechanics. We conclude in Sec. VI.

II SUPERSYMMETRIC QUANTUM MECHANICS

In this section we consider the construction of reflectionless one-dimensional potentials by means of supersymmetric quantum mechanics. We begin by writing the one-dimensional Schrödinger Hamiltonian

$$H_+ = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_+(x) \quad (1)$$

in factorized form as

$$H_+ = A^\dagger A, \quad (2)$$

where A is given by

$$A = -\frac{d}{dx} + f(x). \quad (3)$$

In order that Eq. (2) hold, $f(x)$ must obey the Ricatti equation

$$f^2 + f' = V_+. \quad (4)$$

The form of Eq. (2) suggests the introduction of a ‘partner’ Hamiltonian H_- given by

$$H_- = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_-(x) = AA^\dagger. \quad (5)$$

The potentials $V_\pm$ are given in terms of f by $V_\pm = f^2 \pm f'$.

It turns out that there are certain interesting relationships between the spectra of H_+ and H_- . For suppose that ψ_+ is an eigenfunction H_+ . We then have

$$A^\dagger A\psi_+ = E_+\psi_+. \quad (6)$$

Multiplying on the left by A gives

$$AA^\dagger[A\psi_+] = E_+[A\psi_+]. \quad (7)$$

From this it follows either that $\psi_- \equiv A\psi_+$ is an eigenfunction of H_- with eigenvalue E_+ , or that $\psi_- \equiv 0$. Now the latter of these two possibilities implies that

$$0 = \langle \psi_- | \psi_- \rangle = \langle A\psi_+ | A\psi_+ \rangle = \langle \psi_+ | A^\dagger A\psi_+ \rangle = E_+ \langle \psi_+ | \psi_+ \rangle, \quad (8)$$

so that $\psi_- \equiv 0$ only when $E_+ = 0$. It follows that H_+ and H_- have the same spectra, apart from the single $E = 0$ eigenvalue which is present in the spectrum of H_+ , but absent from the spectrum of H_- . A similar argument shows that if ψ_- is an eigenfunction of H_- , then $\psi_+ = A^\dagger\psi_-$ is an eigenfunction of H_+ .

The factorization method can be used to construct reflectionless potentials possessing an arbitrary spectrum of bound states. To see this, suppose we have a Hamiltonian $H^{(1)}$ with potential $V^{(1)}$ having n eigenvalues $E = E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n$. We may construct from $V^{(1)}$ a potential $V^{(2)}$ having the n eigenvalues E_k , plus one more eigenvalue E_{n+1} . To do this, first choose the zero of energy such that $V^{(1)} \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$, and define V_- by $V_- = V^{(1)} - E_{n+1}$. We factorize the corresponding Schrödinger equation as above: define $f(x)$ by

$$f^2 - f' = V_-, \quad (9)$$

and construct the partner potential

$$V_+ = f^2 + f'. \quad (10)$$

The potential V_- has the n eigenvalues $E_1 - E_{n+1}, E_2 - E_{n+1}, \dots, E_n - E_{n+1}$, while V_+ has $n + 1$ eigenvalues consisting of the n eigenvalues of V_- , plus the additional

eigenvalue $E = 0$. Defining $V^{(2)} = V_+ + E_{n+1}$ yields the desired potential, possessing the $n + 1$ eigenvalues $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_{n+1}$. In this way, one can start from the constant potential $V^{(1)} = 0$ and by iteration build up a potential possessing an arbitrary spectrum of eigenvalues.

As an example of this procedure we construct a potential possessing a single bound state with energy $E = -\kappa^2$. We begin from $V^{(1)} = 0$, so that $V_- = \kappa^2$, and f obeys

$$f^2 - f' = \kappa^2. \quad (11)$$

This may be linearized by the substitution $f = -w'/w$, yielding

$$f = -\kappa \tanh \kappa(x - x_0), \quad (12)$$

so that V_+ is given by

$$V_+ = \kappa^2 \left[1 - 2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \kappa(x - x_0) \right], \quad (13)$$

and the desired potential, possessing a single bound state, is given by

$$V^{(2)} = -2\kappa^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \kappa(x - x_0). \quad (14)$$

To see that $V^{(2)}$ is reflectionless, consider a plane wave solution for the potential V_- , $\psi_-(x) = e^{ikx}$. The corresponding solution for the potential V_+ is given by $\psi_+ = A^\dagger \psi_-$. From Eq. (12), it follows that ψ_+ behaves asymptotically like $(ik \mp \kappa)e^{ikx}$ as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$. Since no term proportional to e^{-ikx} arises, the potential is reflectionless. Defining the reflection and transmission coefficients R and T by

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \begin{cases} e^{ikx} + R(k)e^{-ikx}, & x \rightarrow -\infty; \\ T(k)e^{ikx}, & x \rightarrow +\infty, \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

we find that $R = 0$, while

$$T(k) = \frac{ik - \kappa}{ik + \kappa}. \quad (16)$$

By repeating the above procedure, it is possible to construct potentials possessing arbitrarily many bound states. It is shown in the Appendix that such potentials are reflectionless, and that furthermore they have transmission coefficients given by

$$T(k) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{ik - \kappa_i}{ik + \kappa_i}, \quad (17)$$

where the N bound states have energies $E_i = -\kappa_i^2$.

III CONSERVATION LAWS FOR ISOSPECTRAL DEFORMATIONS OF A POTENTIAL

Consider again the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation, with a reflectionless potential $V(x) = -u(x)$:

$$\psi_{xx} + [k^2 + u(x)]\psi = 0. \quad (18)$$

We wish to derive certain quantities which will be conserved under any deformation of the potential which leaves the spectrum of bound states unchanged. These conserved quantities may be obtained via an asymptotic expansion of the transmission coefficient for large values of k . We write the wavefunction as

$$\psi(x) = \exp \left[ikx + \int_{-\infty}^x \phi(x') dx' \right] \quad (19)$$

and expand ϕ as

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_n(x)}{(2ik)^n}. \quad (20)$$

Substitution of (19) into (18) yields the recursion relation

$$f_{n+1} = -f_{n,x} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f_k f_{n-k}, \quad (21)$$

for $n \geq 1$, while $f_1 = -u(x)$. The first few terms in the series are

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= -u, \quad f_2 = u_x, \quad f_3 = -u_{xx} - u^2, \quad f_4 = (u_{xx} + 2u^2)_x, \quad \text{and} \\ f_5 &= -(u_{xx} + 3u^2)_{xx} + u_x^2 - 2u^3. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ in Eq. (19) and comparing with Eq. (15) shows that the transmission coefficient given in Eq. (17) may be written in two ways:

$$\log T(k) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2ik)^n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_n(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\log(ik - \kappa_i) - \log(ik + \kappa_i) \right]. \quad (22)$$

Expanding the quantity on the far right in a power series in $1/k$ and equating coefficients of $1/k^n$ gives

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_n(x) dx = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even;} \\ -\frac{2^{n+1}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^N \kappa_i^n & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

The integrals vanish for even n because the integrands in this case are total derivatives of a function having the same values at $x = \pm\infty$, as we see for $n = 2$ and $n = 4$ in the list above. It follows from Eq. (23) that the integrals of the functions f_n are constants of the motion for any spectrum-preserving deformation of the potential $u(x)$: since the eigenvalues κ_i^2 are constant for such a deformation, the integrals must

also be constant. These integrals are precisely the KdV Hamiltonians, up to an overall multiplicative factor. The choice of this multiplicative factor is simply a matter of convenience, so we choose to define the Hamiltonians as

$$H_{2n+1}[u] = -\frac{1}{2^{2n+1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{2n+3}(x) dx. \quad (24)$$

A specific form for $f_n(x)$ may be written for the single-soliton solution by noting that for a soliton with $x_0 = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= A^\dagger e^{ikx} \sim \left(\frac{ik - \kappa \tanh \kappa x}{ik + \kappa} \right) e^{ikx} \\ &= \exp(ikx + \log[ik - \kappa \tanh \kappa x] - \log[ik + \kappa]) \equiv \exp[ikx + \int_{-\infty}^x \phi(x') dx'] \quad . \end{aligned}$$

Expanding

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_n(x)}{(2ik)^n} = (d/dx)(\log[ik - \kappa \tanh \kappa x] - \log[ik + \kappa]) \quad (25)$$

gives the result

$$f_n = -\frac{(2\kappa)^n}{n} \frac{d}{dx} \tanh^n \kappa x \quad . \quad (26)$$

IV EVOLUTION EQUATIONS

We can use the results of the previous two sections to derive a set of spectrum preserving evolution equations for the potential $u(x)$. We know from the last section that any such evolution equation must conserve the transmission coefficient $T(k)$, and, consequently, must also conserve each of the Hamiltonians H_{2n+1} . A natural choice for an evolution equation conserving a specific Hamiltonian H_{2k+1} is a member of the Korteweg-de Vries hierarchy, which may be written in the form

$$u_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\delta H_{2k+1}}{\delta u}. \quad (27)$$

We have introduced the variational derivative $\delta/\delta u$, defined by

$$\delta H[u] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\delta H}{\delta u} \delta u dx, \quad (28)$$

so that if $H[u] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(u, u_x, \dots) dx$,

$$\frac{\delta H}{\delta u} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{d}{dx} \right)^k \frac{\partial h}{\partial u^{(k)}}, \quad (29)$$

where $u^{(k)}$ is the k^{th} derivative of $u(x, t)$ with respect to x . Eq. (27) automatically conserves the Hamiltonian H_{2k+1} since

$$\frac{dH_{2k+1}}{dt} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\delta H_{2k+1}}{\delta u} u_t dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{\delta H_{2k+1}}{\delta u} \right)^2 dx = 0. \quad (30)$$

The proof that Eq. (27) also conserves *all* of the Hamiltonians is somewhat more subtle.

To show that Eq. (27) conserves all of the Hamiltonians, we first derive a new expression for the transmission coefficient $T(k)$. We again employ a factorization method to write the Schrödinger equation in the form

$$\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - [k^2 + u] \right) \psi = AA^\dagger \psi = 0, \quad (31)$$

where $A = -\frac{d}{dx} + f$, and

$$f_x - f^2 - k^2 = u. \quad (32)$$

Since $AA^\dagger \psi = 0$ implies $A^\dagger \psi = 0$, we find that

$$f = -\frac{d}{dx} \log \psi. \quad (33)$$

Since $\psi \rightarrow T(k) \exp(ikx)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that the transmission coefficient may be written as

$$\log T(k) = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (ik + f) dx. \quad (34)$$

It follows that if the evolution equation for f corresponding to Eq. (27) has the form $f_t = \partial J / \partial x$, then $T(k)$, and all of the Hamiltonians, will be conserved. It turns out that this is in fact the case. To see this, we make use of the following observations: First, we note that the variational derivatives of the Hamiltonians obey the recursion relation [1]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\delta H_{2k+1}}{\delta u} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3} + 2u_x + 4u \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \frac{\delta H_{2k-1}}{\delta u} \equiv \frac{1}{4} M \frac{\delta H_{2k-1}}{\delta u}. \quad (35)$$

Next, we observe that the linear operator M may be written as

$$M = -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2f \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2f \right) - 4k^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}. \quad (36)$$

Finally, note that the variational derivatives $\delta H / \delta u$ and $\delta H / \delta f$ are related in a simple way: we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta H &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\delta H}{\delta u} \delta u dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\delta H}{\delta u} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2f \right) \delta f dx = \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2f \right) \frac{\delta H}{\delta u} \delta f dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\delta H}{\delta f} \delta f dx, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

so that

$$\frac{\delta H}{\delta f} = \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2f \right) \frac{\delta H}{\delta u}. \quad (38)$$

We can now derive an evolution equation for $f(x, t)$. Beginning from Eq. (27), we find

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2f \right) f_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\delta H_{2k+1}}{\delta u} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2f \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2f \right) \frac{\delta H_{2k-1}}{\delta u} - k^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\delta H_{2k-1}}{\delta u} \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2f \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \frac{\delta H_{2k-1}}{\delta f} + \frac{k^2}{4} \frac{\delta H_{2k-3}}{\delta f} \right) + k^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\delta H_{2k-3}}{\delta u}. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

We have made repeated use of Eq. (35) to lower the index k , and Eq. (38) to express $\delta/\delta u$ in terms of $\delta/\delta f$. We may continue lowering k in this manner until the “remainder” term (i.e. the term which is not multiplied by $(\partial/\partial x - 2f)$ on the right hand side of Eq. (39)) has the form $\partial/\partial x(\delta H_{-1}/\delta u)$. At this point, the remainder vanishes and the sequence terminates. Hence the evolution equation for f has the form

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 2f \right) \left(f_t - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sum_{l=0}^k (-1)^{l+1} k^{2l} \frac{\delta H_{2(k-l)-1}}{\delta f} \right) = 0. \quad (40)$$

An argument due to Miura, Kruskal and Gardner [9] can now be used to show that Eq. (40) implies

$$f_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=0}^k (-1)^{l+1} k^{2l} \frac{\delta H_{2(k-l)-1}}{\delta f} \right). \quad (41)$$

The argument runs as follows: We know from Sec. III that f , which is the logarithmic derivative of the wavefunction, may be expanded in an asymptotic series of the form

$$f = ik + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n(x, t)}{(2ik)^n}. \quad (42)$$

Consequently, the quantity

$$F \equiv f_t - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sum_{l=0}^k (-1)^{l+1} k^{2l} \frac{\delta H_{2(k-l)-1}}{\delta f} \quad (43)$$

may also be written as a series in the form

$$F = \sum_{n=-\infty}^N b_n(x, t) (2ik)^n. \quad (44)$$

Substituting these expansions into Eq. (40) and equating the coefficients of each power of k to zero, we find that the coefficient of k^{N+1} is simply b_N , so that $b_N = 0$. But

this in turn implies that $b_{N-1} = b_{N-2} = b_{N-3} = \dots = 0$. As a result, we conclude that $F \equiv 0$, and Eq. (41) follows.

The evolution equation (41) is of the form

$$f_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} J(x). \quad (45)$$

From Eq. (34) it then follows that the transmission coefficient is a constant of the motion. Since the coefficients of the asymptotic expansion (22) of $\log T(k)$ are just constant multiples of the KdV Hamiltonians, it follows that each evolution equation of the form (27) conserves all of the Hamiltonians. Consequently, each such equation also preserves the eigenvalue spectrum of the potential $u(x)$.

The above formalism permits a simple derivation of the time dependence of the one soliton solution of KdV under the evolution equations (27). The fact that each of these evolution equations conserves *all* of the Hamiltonians implies that the various evolutions commute with one another [1]. As a result, the function u may be thought of as depending on an infinite number of time variables, with the dependence on the various times fixed by

$$u_{t_{2k+1}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\delta H_{2k+1}}{\delta u}. \quad (46)$$

We illustrate this by considering the one soliton solution of the KdV hierarchy. We make the ansatz $u(x, t_1, t_3, \dots) = 2\kappa^2 \text{sech}^2(\kappa x + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{2l+1} t_{2l+1})$, and substitute into the evolution equations (46) to determine the constants α_i . We find that Eq. (46) with $k = 0$ gives, after some manipulation,

$$2\kappa\alpha_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \text{sech}^2(\kappa x + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{2l+1} t_{2l+1}) = 2\kappa^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \text{sech}^2(\kappa x + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{2l+1} t_{2l+1}), \quad (47)$$

so that $\alpha_1 = \kappa$. Using the recursion relation (35), it can be shown that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\delta H_{2k+1}}{\delta u} = \kappa^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\delta H_{2k-1}}{\delta u}. \quad (48)$$

From this all of the constants α_i can be determined from α_1 . The result is $\alpha_{2l+1} = \kappa^{2l+1}$, so that the full time dependence of the one-soliton solution is given by

$$u(x, t_1, t_3, \dots) = 2\kappa^2 \text{sech}^2(\kappa x + \kappa t_1 + \kappa^3 t_3 + \kappa^5 t_5 + \dots). \quad (49)$$

An equivalent result has been given in [6].

V THE τ -FUNCTION AND THE VERTEX OPERATOR

In this section we discuss the construction of reflectionless potentials and multisoliton solutions of KdV using the τ -function. A generic potential can be expressed in terms of the τ -function by

$$V(x) = -2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \log \tau(x). \quad (50)$$

The τ -function can be constructed in either of two ways: First, we can use supersymmetric quantum mechanics to build up the τ -function from a sort of “vacuum state” using the techniques discussed above. Alternatively, we can use the vertex operator [1,8] to construct the desired τ -function.

To build up the τ -function using supersymmetric quantum mechanics, we consider a sequence of potentials $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n$, where V_m has m bound states, the highest $m-1$ of which are shared with V_{m-1} . From the appendix, these potentials may be written in terms of a set of functions f_m as

$$V_m(x) = f_m^2 + f'_m - \kappa_m^2 \quad (51)$$

or

$$V_m(x) = f_{m+1}^2 - f'_{m+1} - \kappa_{m+1}^2. \quad (52)$$

Using this, V_n can be expressed as

$$V_n = \sum_{m=1}^n (V_m - V_{m-1}) = 2 \frac{d}{dx} \sum_{m=1}^n f_m, \quad (53)$$

where $V_0 \equiv 0$. Introducing functions w_m defined by

$$f_m = -w'_m/w_m, \quad (54)$$

the potential V_n becomes

$$V_n = -2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \log \prod_{m=1}^n w_m, \quad (55)$$

so that the τ -function corresponding to V_n is given by

$$\tau_n = \prod_{m=1}^n w_m. \quad (56)$$

We can use the operators $A_m = -d/dx + f_m$ to construct the functions w_m from simple linear combinations of exponentials. First observe that the sequence of Hamiltonians $H_m = -d^2/dx^2 + V_m$ may be written as

$$H_m = A_m^\dagger A_m - \kappa_m^2 = A_{m+1} A_{m+1}^\dagger - \kappa_{m+1}^2. \quad (57)$$

Furthermore, the functions w_m obey

$$[A_m A_m^\dagger - \kappa_m^2] w_m = -\kappa_m^2 w_m. \quad (58)$$

Now suppose w_m^0 is chosen to satisfy

$$-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} w_m^0 = [A_1 A_1^\dagger - \kappa_1^2] w_m^0 = -\kappa_m^2 w_m^0. \quad (59)$$

Multiplying on the left by $A_1^\dagger$ and using Eq. (57), we find that $A_1^\dagger w_m^0$ obeys

$$[A_2 A_2^\dagger - \kappa_2^2] A_1^\dagger w_m^0 = -\kappa_m^2 A_1^\dagger w_m^0. \quad (60)$$

Repeating this process we find that the function w_m is given by

$$w_m = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} A_k^\dagger w_m^0, \quad (61)$$

so that the τ -function can be written as

$$\tau_n = \prod_{m=1}^n \left(\prod_{k=1}^{m-1} A_k^\dagger \right) w_m^0. \quad (62)$$

Since the functions w_m^0 are solutions of Eq. (59), they have the form

$$w_m^0 = a_m^+ e^{\kappa_m x} + a_m^- e^{-\kappa_m x}, \quad (63)$$

with the constants $a_m^\pm$ chosen so as to ensure that w_m has no nodes. For the case of potentials symmetric about the origin, we choose $a_m^+ = 1$, $a_m^- = (-1)^{m+1}$. The first few τ -functions are

$$\tau_0(x) = 1, \quad (64)$$

$$\tau_1(x) = a_1^+ e^{\kappa_1 x} + a_1^- e^{-\kappa_1 x}, \quad (65)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2(x) = & a_1^+ a_2^+ (\kappa_2 - \kappa_1) e^{\kappa_1 x} e^{\kappa_2 x} + a_1^+ a_2^- (-\kappa_2 - \kappa_1) e^{\kappa_1 x} e^{-\kappa_2 x} \\ & + a_1^- a_2^+ (\kappa_2 + \kappa_1) e^{-\kappa_1 x} e^{\kappa_2 x} + a_1^- a_2^- (-\kappa_2 + \kappa_1) e^{-\kappa_1 x} e^{-\kappa_2 x}, \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_3(x) = & a_1^+ a_2^+ a_3^+ (\kappa_3 - \kappa_2)(\kappa_3 - \kappa_1)(\kappa_2 - \kappa_1) e^{\kappa_1 x + \kappa_2 x + \kappa_3 x} \\ & + a_1^+ a_2^+ a_3^- (-\kappa_3 - \kappa_2)(-\kappa_3 - \kappa_1)(\kappa_2 - \kappa_1) e^{\kappa_1 x + \kappa_2 x - \kappa_3 x} + \\ & + a_1^+ a_2^- a_3^+ (\kappa_3 + \kappa_2)(\kappa_3 - \kappa_1)(-\kappa_2 - \kappa_1) e^{\kappa_1 x - \kappa_2 x + \kappa_3 x} + \\ & + a_1^+ a_2^- a_3^- (-\kappa_3 + \kappa_2)(-\kappa_3 - \kappa_1)(-\kappa_2 - \kappa_1) e^{\kappa_1 x - \kappa_2 x - \kappa_3 x} + \\ & + a_1^- a_2^+ a_3^+ (\kappa_3 - \kappa_2)(\kappa_3 + \kappa_1)(\kappa_2 + \kappa_1) e^{-\kappa_1 x + \kappa_2 x + \kappa_3 x} + \\ & + a_1^- a_2^+ a_3^- (-\kappa_3 - \kappa_2)(-\kappa_3 + \kappa_1)(\kappa_2 + \kappa_1) e^{-\kappa_1 x + \kappa_2 x - \kappa_3 x} + \\ & + a_1^- a_2^- a_3^+ (\kappa_3 + \kappa_2)(\kappa_3 + \kappa_1)(-\kappa_2 + \kappa_1) e^{-\kappa_1 x - \kappa_2 x + \kappa_3 x} + \\ & + a_1^- a_2^- a_3^- (-\kappa_3 + \kappa_2)(-\kappa_3 + \kappa_1)(-\kappa_2 + \kappa_1) e^{-\kappa_1 x - \kappa_2 x - \kappa_3 x}. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

The inductive generalization to the n -bound state τ -function is evidently (cf. [10])

$$\tau_n(x) = \prod_{m=1}^n \left(\left[\sum_{s_m=\pm} a_m^{s_m} e^{s_m \kappa_m x} \right] \prod_{l=1}^{m-1} [s_m \kappa_m - s_l \kappa_l] \right). \quad (68)$$

An alternative method of adding a soliton to a τ -function is provided by the vertex operator, which is a linear operator that, when applied to a τ -function, adds a soliton. In Eqs. (65,66,67) we have displayed the first few τ -functions generated by supersymmetric quantum mechanics. The given expressions are somewhat deceptive, however, since they over-count the number of degrees of freedom that one has when

adding a bound state to a potential. From the given expressions, it would seem that one is free to independently choose all three of the constants a_m^+ , a_m^- , and κ_m . Contrary to this, one in fact has only two degrees of freedom: since the multiplication of a τ -function by an overall constant leaves the potential unchanged, the true independent variables are the ratio a_m^+/a_m^- and the constant κ_m . The former of these two is in fact a measure of the “position” of the soliton that one adds when adding a bound state. With these facts in mind, we re-write the above τ -functions in an alternative form:

$$\tau_1(x) = 1 + e^{2\kappa_1(x-x_1)}, \quad (69)$$

$$\tau_2(x) = 1 + e^{2\kappa_1(x-x_1)} + e^{2\kappa_2(x-x_2)} + \left(\frac{\kappa_2 - \kappa_1}{\kappa_2 + \kappa_1}\right)^2 e^{2\kappa_1(x-x_1)+2\kappa_2(x-x_2)}, \quad (70)$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_3(x) &= 1 + e^{2\kappa_1(x-x_1)} + e^{2\kappa_2(x-x_2)} + e^{2\kappa_3(x-x_3)} + \left(\frac{\kappa_2 - \kappa_1}{\kappa_2 + \kappa_1}\right)^2 e^{2\kappa_1(x-x_1)+2\kappa_2(x-x_2)} \\ &+ \left(\frac{\kappa_3 - \kappa_1}{\kappa_3 + \kappa_1}\right)^2 e^{2\kappa_1(x-x_1)+2\kappa_3(x-x_3)} + \left(\frac{\kappa_3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_3 + \kappa_2}\right)^2 e^{2\kappa_2(x-x_2)+2\kappa_3(x-x_3)} \\ &+ \left(\frac{\kappa_2 - \kappa_1}{\kappa_2 + \kappa_1}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\kappa_3 - \kappa_1}{\kappa_3 + \kappa_1}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\kappa_3 - \kappa_2}{\kappa_3 + \kappa_2}\right)^2 e^{2\kappa_1(x-x_1)+2\kappa_2(x-x_2)+2\kappa_3(x-x_3)}. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

In deriving these expressions from those given above, we have made use of the fact that multiplication of a τ -function by an overall constant or by an exponential whose argument is linear in x leaves the potential unchanged. Using these expressions, we can construct a linear operator that converts an n -soliton τ -function into an $n+1$ -soliton τ -function. We denote this operator by $A(\kappa, \bar{x})$ to make its dependence on the relevant variables explicit, and construct it so as to satisfy

$$A(\kappa, \bar{x})\tau_n = \tau_{n+1}. \quad (72)$$

Certain properties of $A(\kappa, \bar{x})$ can be deduced by considering its action on $\tau_0 \equiv 1$: we require that

$$A(\kappa_1, x_1)\tau_0 = A(\kappa_1, x_1)1 = 1 + e^{2\kappa_1(x-x_1)}. \quad (73)$$

This equation is automatically satisfied by A of the form $A(\kappa, \bar{x}) = 1 + B(\kappa, \bar{x})$, with B constructed such that

$$B(\kappa_1, x_1)1 = e^{2\kappa_1(x-x_1)}. \quad (74)$$

Further constraints are obtained by considering the action of $A(\kappa, \bar{x})$ on τ_1 . Using (74), and applying A to τ_1 , we find

$$A(\kappa_2, x_2)\tau_1 = 1 + e^{2\kappa_1(x-x_1)} + e^{2\kappa_2(x-x_2)} + B(\kappa_2, x_2)e^{2\kappa_1(x-x_1)}. \quad (75)$$

B must therefore obey

$$B(\kappa_2, x_2)e^{2\kappa_1(x-x_1)} = \left(\frac{\kappa_2 - \kappa_1}{\kappa_2 + \kappa_1}\right)^2 e^{2\kappa_1(x-x_1)+2\kappa_2(x-x_2)}. \quad (76)$$

Here we encounter the difficulty that such a constraint on B leads to inconsistencies when we apply it to τ -functions of higher order. A way around this difficulty [11] is suggested by re-expressing the κ -dependent coefficient in τ_2 in the following form:

$$\left(\frac{\kappa_2 - \kappa_1}{\kappa_2 + \kappa_1}\right)^2 = e^{2(\log(1-\kappa_1/\kappa_2) - \log(1+\kappa_1/\kappa_2))} = e^{-4 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2k+1} \left(\frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2}\right)^{2k+1}}. \quad (77)$$

We can generate precisely such a factor through the action of B by introducing a set of auxiliary variables $t_3, t_5, t_7, \dots$ in the τ -function according to the following prescription: replace the constant of integration x_1 in τ_1 with the “time-dependent” expression $x_1(\kappa, t_3, t_5, \dots) = \bar{x}_1 - \sum_1^{\infty} \kappa_1^{2k} t_{2k+1}$, with $\bar{x}_1$ constant. These auxiliary variables can then be set to zero in the final expression for the potential. The κ dependent constant can be generated by making use of the identity [based on Eq. (77)]

$$e^{-2\left(\frac{1}{\kappa_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{3\kappa_2^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_3} + \frac{1}{5\kappa_2^5} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_5} + \dots\right)} e^{2\kappa_1(x - x_1(\kappa_1, t_1, \dots))} = \left(\frac{\kappa_2 - \kappa_1}{\kappa_2 + \kappa_1}\right)^2 e^{2\kappa_1(x - x_1(\kappa_1, t_1, \dots))}. \quad (78)$$

This is almost what we need. The correct form of B is evidently

$$\begin{aligned} B(\kappa, \bar{x}) &= e^{2\kappa(x + \sum_1^{\infty} \kappa^{2k} t_{2k+1} - \bar{x})} e^{-2\left(\frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{3\kappa^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_3} + \frac{1}{5\kappa^5} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_5} + \dots\right)} \\ &= e^{2\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \kappa^{2k+1} t_{2k+1} - \kappa \bar{x}\right)} e^{-2\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)\kappa^{2k+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{2k+1}}\right)}. \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

The latter form of B has been simplified by making the identification $x \equiv t_1$. We see that the form of the κ dependent factors, or “phase shift functions” appearing in τ_2 enable us to determine the form of the vertex operator relatively easily. This fact has been noted elsewhere [11], in connection with the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation. The form of the operator A is then

$$A(\kappa, \bar{x}) = 1 + e^{2\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \kappa^{2k+1} t_{2k+1} - \kappa \bar{x}\right)} e^{-2\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)\kappa^{2k+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{2k+1}}\right)}. \quad (80)$$

This form of the vertex operator has been cited elsewhere [1,12], and does in fact convert an n -bound state τ -function into one possessing $n+1$ bound states. This is most readily seen by direct computation using the identity

$$B(\kappa_n, \bar{x}_n) \dots B(\kappa_1, \bar{x}_1) 1 = \prod_{k=1}^n \left\{ \left[\prod_{l=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{\kappa_k - \kappa_l}{\kappa_k + \kappa_l} \right)^2 \right] e^{2\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \kappa_k^{2n+1} t_{2n+1} - \kappa_k \bar{x}_k\right)} \right\} \quad (81)$$

and comparing, after suitable multiplication by an overall constant and an overall exponential factor, with the form of the τ -function given in Eq. (68). The n -soliton τ -function can be generated by repeated action of the vertex operator on $\tau_0 \equiv 1$:

$$\tau_n = A(\kappa_n, x_n) A(\kappa_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) \dots A(\kappa_1, x_1) 1. \quad (82)$$

A particularly compact form of the vertex operator (80) can be given if we choose $\beta = \exp(-2\kappa \bar{x})$ and make use of the fact that $B(\kappa, \bar{x})^2 = 0$. We may write A as

$$A(\kappa, \beta) = e^{\beta B(\kappa, 0)}. \quad (83)$$

In this form, it is apparent that the operator $B(\kappa, 0)$ is a generator of an infinitesimal symmetry that maps τ -functions onto new τ -functions. It has been shown [12] that these symmetries are generated by a certain class of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. Another frequently used form of the vertex operator is

$$X(\kappa) = \exp \sum_0^\infty \left(\kappa^{2k+1} t_{2k+1} \right) \exp \sum_0^\infty \left(-\frac{1}{(2k+1)\kappa^{2k+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{2k+1}} \right). \quad (84)$$

This form of the vertex operator can also be used to add a soliton to a τ -function: we have

$$\tau_n = \left(b_n^+ X(\kappa_n) + b_n^- X(-\kappa_n) \right) \tau_{n-1}. \quad (85)$$

In this case, it is the ratio b_n^+/b_n^- that determines the position of the new soliton. The equivalence of this construction to that given above can be verified by making use of the identity

$$X(\kappa_n) X(\kappa_{n-1}) \dots X(\kappa_1) 1 = \prod_{m=1}^n \exp \sum_0^\infty \left(\kappa_m^{2k+1} t_{2k+1} \right) \prod_{l=1}^{m-1} \left| \frac{\kappa_m - \kappa_l}{\kappa_m + \kappa_l} \right|^{1/2}, \quad (86)$$

to construct the n -soliton τ -function, and comparing the result, modulo irrelevant factors, to that given above.

In the above discussion we have treated the variables t_{2k+1} as auxiliary variables which are to be set to zero after they have been used to construct a given potential. Comparison of Eq. (49) with the vertex operator (80) shows that the auxiliary variables t_{2k+1} introduced in this section are precisely the KdV time variables employed in Sec. IV. Consequently, the above construction can also be used to construct multi-soliton solutions of the KdV hierarchy. Defining $u(x, t_3, t_5, \dots)$ by

$$u(x, t_3, t_5, \dots) = 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \log \tau(x, t_3, \dots), \quad (87)$$

with τ constructed by repeated application of the vertex operator on 1, we see that u obeys

$$u_{t_{2k+1}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\delta}{\delta u} H_{2k+1}. \quad (88)$$

As the time variables run over all possible values, u maps out all of the isospectral deformations of the potential $V(x) = -u(x)|_{t_3, t_5, \dots \text{fixed}}$.

VI CONCLUSIONS

We have explored two methods for adding a soliton to a multi-soliton solution of the Korteweg-de Vries equation (and related higher-order equations). The method more familiar from the standpoint of soliton theory [1] employs a function (the τ -function) whose logarithm, when differentiated twice, gives the solution (up to a factor). A

vertex operator, a function of infinitely many times, acts upon this τ -function to add a soliton.

The alternative method for adding a soliton relies upon supersymmetric quantum mechanics. In order to add a soliton, one must solve a (first-order) Riccati equation, thereby adding one integration constant for each soliton. The physical interpretation of this integration constant is the position of the new soliton relative to all the others. This position is best visualized at large separations of the individual solitons, which occurs at asymptotic values of the times t_{2k+1} governing the evolution according to the Hamiltonians H_{2k+1} . Under such circumstances, the multi-soliton solution closely resembles a set of individual one-soliton “lumps,” each lump propagating with a speed governed by its size.

We have shown that the two methods for adding solitons are equivalent. Nonetheless, the method of demonstration still seems somewhat roundabout. There are many features of single-soliton solutions which suggest that they may be useful in constructing the vertex operator (80), but we have so far been unable to find a more direct route to this result.

VII ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the United States Department of Education through a GAANN Fellowship (A.K.G.) and by the United States Department of Energy through Grant No. DE FG02 90ER40560.

VIII APPENDIX: DERIVATION OF THE TRANSMISSION COEFFICIENT USING SUPERSYMMETRIC QUANTUM MECHANICS

We wish to show that potentials constructed using the method of Sec. II are reflectionless and have transmission coefficients $T(k)$ given by Eq. (17):

$$T(k) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{ik - \kappa_i}{ik + \kappa_i}. \quad (89)$$

These results may be proven by induction on N .

For, suppose that we have constructed a series of potentials V_n , $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$, such that V_n has bound states at $E = -\kappa_1^2, -\kappa_2^2, \dots, -\kappa_n^2$. Using the methods of Sec. II, we may construct V_{n+1} from V_n as follows: We write

$$V_-^{(n+1)} = V_n + \kappa_{n+1}^2 = f_{n+1}^2 - f'_{n+1}, \quad (90)$$

so that the partner potential $V_+^{(n+1)}$ is

$$V_+^{(n+1)} = V_{n+1} + \kappa_{n+1}^2 = f_{n+1}^2 + f'_{n+1}. \quad (91)$$

We therefore find that each potential V_n has two equivalent representations:

$$V_n = f_{n+1}^2 - f'_{n+1} - \kappa_{n+1}^2 = f_n^2 + f'_n - \kappa_n^2. \quad (92)$$

The eigenfunctions of V_n can be related to those of V_{n+1} using the operators A and $A^\dagger$. Comparing with Sec. II, we find that if ψ_n is an eigenfunction of V_n , then the corresponding eigenfunction ψ_{n+1} for the potential V_{n+1} is given by

$$\psi_{n+1} = A_{n+1}^\dagger \psi_n = \left(\frac{d}{dx} + f_{n+1} \right) \psi_n. \quad (93)$$

We now make the following induction hypotheses: we suppose for some n that (i) $f_n \rightarrow \mp \kappa_n$ as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$, and that (ii) the transmission coefficient for V_n is given by Eq. (89) with $N = n$. We know from Sec. II that both hypotheses hold for $n = 1$. It follows that $V_n \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$, and that f_{n+1} obeys

$$f_{n+1}^2 - f'_{n+1} = V_n + \kappa_{n+1}^2. \quad (94)$$

As $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$, this reduces to $f_{n+1}^2 - f'_{n+1} = \kappa_{n+1}^2$, which has the solution $f_{n+1} = -\kappa_{n+1} \tanh \kappa_{n+1}(x - x_0)$. Consequently f_{n+1} has the asymptotic forms

$$f_{n+1} \rightarrow \begin{cases} -\kappa_{n+1} \tanh \kappa_{n+1}(x - x_+) \rightarrow -\kappa_{n+1}, & x \rightarrow +\infty; \\ -\kappa_{n+1} \tanh \kappa_{n+1}(x - x_-) \rightarrow \kappa_{n+1}, & x \rightarrow -\infty. \end{cases} \quad (95)$$

This proves that our first induction hypothesis holds for all n . To see that the second holds, observe that a plane wave solution in the potential V_n has the asymptotic form

$$\psi_n(x) \rightarrow \begin{cases} e^{ikx}, & x \rightarrow -\infty; \\ \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{ik - \kappa_i}{ik + \kappa_i} e^{ikx}, & x \rightarrow +\infty. \end{cases} \quad (96)$$

Applying the operator $A_{n+1}^\dagger$ to ψ_n gives the corresponding solution for the potential V_{n+1} . After dividing through by $ik + \kappa_{n+1}$, we find that this solution has the form

$$\psi_{n+1}(x) \rightarrow \begin{cases} e^{ikx}, & x \rightarrow -\infty; \\ \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{ik - \kappa_i}{ik + \kappa_i} e^{ikx}, & x \rightarrow +\infty, \end{cases} \quad (97)$$

which proves the second of our induction hypotheses, as well as Eq. (89).

REFERENCES

- [1] Alan C. Newell, *Solitons in Mathematics and Physics* (SIAM, Philadelphia, 1985).
- [2] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B188**, 513 (1981).
- [3] C. V. Sukumar, J. Phys. A **18**, L57 (1985); **18**, 2917 (1985); **18**, 2937 (1985); **19**, 2229 (1986); **19**, 2297 (1986); **20**, 2461 (1987).
- [4] Waikwok Kwong and Jonathan L. Rosner, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **86**, 366 (1986) (Festschrift volume in honor of Y. Nambu).
- [5] Waikwok Kwong, Harold Riggs, Jonathan L. Rosner and H. B. Thacker, Phys. Rev. D **39** 1242 (1989).
- [6] Qin-mou Wang, Uday P. Sukhatme, Wai-Yee Keung, and Tom D. Imbo, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **5**, 525 (1990).
- [7] M. Adler and J. Moser, Comm. Math. Phys. **61**, 1 (1978); B. A. Kuperschmidt and G. Wilson, Inventiones Math. **62**, 403 (1981).
- [8] H. Flaschka, Quart. J. Math. Oxford **34**, 61 (1983).
- [9] R. M. Miura, C. S. Gardner, and M. D. Kruskal, J. Math. Phys. **9**, 1204 (1968).
- [10] Jonathan F. Schonfeld, Waikwok Kwong, Jonathan L. Rosner, C. Quigg, and H. B. Thacker, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **128**, 1 (1980).
- [11] Ryogo Hirota, in *Non-linear Integrable Systems – Classical and Quantum Theory*, Kyoto, May 13–16 1981, ed. by M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, p. 17.
- [12] Etsuro Date, Michio Jimbo, Masaki Kashiwara, and Tetsuji Miwa in *Non-linear Integrable Systems – Classical and Quantum Theory*, Kyoto, May 13–16 1981, ed. by M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, p. 39.